



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

16

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,439	07/05/2001	Tue Nguyen	SIM077	1885
7590	10/25/2002		EXAMINER	
Tue Nguyen 496 Olive Ave. Fremont, CA 94539			HOANG, QUOC DINH	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2818		
DATE MAILED: 10/25/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/898,439	NGUYEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quoc D Hoang	2818

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2002.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-16 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-16 and 20 without traverse in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 07/05/01 has been considered.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show a plasma excitation 106, plasma generator 110, and also figure 6A as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2818

5. Claims 1-4, 9-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as anticipated over Ishizuka et al., (US Patent 5,531,834).

Regarding claim 1, Ishizuka et al., Figs. 1-44, and related text on col. 1-22 which discloses an apparatus to perform semiconductor processing, comprising: a process chamber 1 (col. 6, lines 25-67 and Fig. 1); a plasma generator 15 for generating a plasma in the process chamber 1 (col. 6, lines 25-67 and Fig. 1); and a helical ribbon electrode 13 coupled to the output of the plasma generator 15 (col. 6, lines 25-67 and Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 2, Ishizuka et al., discloses the helical ribbon electrode 13 is external to the process chamber (col. 6, lines 25-67 and Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 3, Ishizuka et al., discloses a dielectric wall 12 position between the chamber 1 and the helical ribbon electrode 13 (col. 6, lines 25-67 and Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 4, Ishizuka et al., discloses the dielectric wall 12 is a flat plate (col. 6, lines 25-67 and Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 9, Ishizuka et al., discloses the helical ribbon electrode 13 is internal to the process chamber 1 (col. 14, lines 35-40 and Fig. 31).

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Ishizuka et al., discloses the apparatus is adapted to receive a workpiece W in the chamber 1 and wherein the distance between the helical ribbon electrode 13 and the workpiece W is less than five inches (col. 12, lines 34-43 and Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 14, Ishizuka et al., discloses the plasma generator 15 is a radio frequency (RF) plasma generator (col. 6, lines 65-67 and Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2818

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 5-8, 12, 13, 15, 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishizuka et al., (US Patent 5,531,834) in view of Qian et al., (US Pat 6,447,636).

Regarding claims 12, 13, 15, 16 and 20, Ishizuka et al., does not disclose a controller coupled to the solid state RF plasma source to pulse the solid state RF plasma source for each deposited layer.

Regarding claims 12, 13, 15, 16 and 20, Qian et al., discloses in figure 1 and on page 10, lines 1-67 a system controller 140 coupled to the solid state RF plasma source 105 to pulse the solid state RF plasma source 105 for each deposited layer (col. 10, lines 1-10 and Fig. 1).

Ishizuka et al., and Qian et al., are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have a controller coupled to the solid state RF plasma source. The motivation for doing so is to generating a pulsed plasma, therefore improving the characteristic of the deposited layer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ishizuka et al., with Qian et al., to obtain the invention of claims 12, 13, 15, 16 and 20.

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding claims 5-7, Qian et al., discloses the dielectric wall 130A is concave (col. 7, lines 20-40 and Fig. 4A).

Regarding claim 8, Qian et al., discloses the dielectric wall 130A projects through the center of the helical ribbon electrode 102A (col. 7, lines 20-40 and Fig. 4A).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 306-5795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8.00 AM to 5.00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms., can be reached on (703) 308-4910

Quoc Hoang *hj*
Patent Examiner/ AU 2818

Hoj
HOAI HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER